

Guidelines about the Contract for Vaccine Liability

Here are some guidelines about the Contract for Vaccine Liability:

I have prepared this Contract for Vaccine Liability (CVL) specifically for dealing with the Covid-19 “nonsense”. I cannot tell anybody what they should do with this CVL, but I can tell you what I am doing with it, or what I would do with it in some circumstances.

In Canada, with the legal precedence set by *Meads vs. Meads*, people cannot make claims against the government, but it does not stop people from responding to government claims once the government initiates the action. So, the solution is to respond to government claims and actions by way of Conditional Acceptance contract with specific considerations. The practice of specifying considerations with “subject” clauses has been used in real estate transactions for decades, so I have adapted it to obtain specific considerations for the “offers” that the government makes for me to do something. Since the government cannot “order” a man or woman to do something (they can only give orders to their slaves - the Legal Persons), they make offers which sound like they are mandatory (but not compulsory) and most people are ticked or intimidated by these offers that sound like “orders”. Basically, the principle is “I will accept your offer subject to the following specific considerations” (“I will buy this house subject to your fixing the roof as consideration”).

In the present Covid-19 “nonsense”, governments around the world have commenced coordinated action to lock-down people and mandate so-called “mitigation measures” without authority over, or approval from, men and women. I think the gaping “flaw” in their assertions is that they believe (or are using tricks to make people believe) that men and women are slaves of the government, and they think they can dictate what I have to do. Of course this is completely backwards from what is true, but when dealing with “innocent people” it is easy for governments to fool and trick people. “Fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me”.

The big “trick” that the government uses, and it is their main trick, is to get a man or woman to agree to be the same as the Legal Person over which they have control. The Legal Person (the Name on a Birth Certificate) belongs to the government, hence it may be treated as a “slave”. I have read the Name Game document [<http://www.natural-person.ca/namegame.html>] many times to completely understand how this “trick” works, and I have been careful with the preparation of the CVL to avoid their tricks. So I do not recommend that anybody modify the CVL without knowledge of what they are doing.

It is important that I identify the man or woman that is causing damage to my body/mind/soul by the so-called “mitigation measures” and/or by the “dictates” that they have promoted or enforced, so that I may hold them liable if necessary as men/women for damages under a common law/lore court by lodging a “claim” against him/her (not a “complaint” it must be a “claim”) in a common law court that deals with harm caused by man upon man. The subsequent claim will be for “damage” to my property (my body, mind, soul, etc.) to be settled in a “court with inherent jurisdiction”, not just any court, and I will be seeking “remedy” for damages from an individual “man or woman”. I cannot make claims against the government or anybody acting in their “roles” as government workers, because I know that I will lose since such claims are outside of common law and those persons are protected from liability by the corrupt government and “legal” system.

Normally when dealing with injustice that has been instigated by a group of people, the objective is to take out the top brass, however, I am now targeting the lower-level workers for their

Guidelines about the Contract for Vaccine Liability

participation in this medical fraud because I think they are less protected by government shields and shenanigans and they are capable to stop this nonsense if they wish to do so and avoid individual liability for not doing so. Also, I am targeting them in their natural form as men and women. I have been very careful to avoid the use of the word “person”, “individual”, “public”, etc., because those words have multiple interpretations and thus can be ambiguous.

In the CVL document, I have to fill in the name of the Establishment (school, work, etc.) by hand. The Establishment is the entity to which Party A belongs. I fill in the name by which I am called (in lower case letters) for Party B avoiding all capitals to avoid the legal person traps.

I have used all “lower case” letters for all men or women names, in an attempt to keep the Contract in the lowest level of “capitalization” and to avoid titles of nobility. I never use Mr. or Mrs. or Hon. etc, because that moves me out of lowest-level common-law/lore.

For the case where I need to define a “proper noun”, I have used first-letter capital so as to distinguish it from all lower case. For example “Contract” is defined as this specific contract, whereas the word “contract” refers to contracts in general because it is not a proper noun.

I have been careful to use single quotes (‘) for proper noun definitions, and double quotes (“) when quoting specific text or for common use emphasis, as typically done with English grammar.

I have made sure to keep all “consideration” in “ounces of 99.99% fine gold bullion”. I cannot use gold coins because all minted coins are actually the property of the government. Hence gold bullion can truly be owned outside of government jurisdiction.

I cannot request consideration in dollars (\$) because that puts me back into statutory jurisdiction again. Same goes for other possible means of payment. Only gold bullion is safe.